UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/560,383 | 03/29/2007 | Michael Glen Orchard | AC-50-US | 9092 |
| | 7590 02/10/201 OCIATES LLC | EXAMINER | | |
| | EET, SUITE 301 | MABRY, JOHN | | |
| MILLBURN, N | IJ 07041 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1625 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/10/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/560,383 | ORCHARD ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| JOHN MABRY | 1625 | |

| | JOHN MABRY | 1625 | |
|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>07 February 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the significant in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The approprianally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | sideration and/or search (see NO v); | TE below); | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all- | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: n/a. Claim(s) rejected: 1.3 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4 and 21-35. | | ll be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails | to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | does not place the application if | r condition for alloward | oe pecduse. |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: <u>CLAIMS WERE NOT ENTERED</u>. | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Janet L. Andres/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1625 | /John Mabry/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625 | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments does not overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of record.